# Estimation of height from hand length in Gujarat region.

Dr. Rashmikant Dave<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant professor, Department of Anatomy, B. J. Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat -380016.

#### Abstract:

Introduction: Personal identification is an immense importance to forensic expert for investigation in cases of mass disasters where only human remains were found. In this study we try to get regression equation for estimation of height from hand length. Material and Methods: Total 140 subjects, 70 male and 70 female, age group of 17 to 21 years were included in current study. Hand length was measured using a spreading calliper. Height of individual was measured. Regression formulae for height estimation were formulated using the hand length for both sexes. Result: Regression equation for estimation of height from hand length was formulated. By using the derived regression equations, height of subjects was calculated and then compared with actual height of subjects. Conclusion: A positive correlation between height and hand length was observed in current study in both sexes and it was statistically significant. Regression equations for height estimation were formulated using the hand length for both sexes. So the data will be useful to forensic experts, archaeologists and anthropologists.

Keywords: - Estimation of Height, Hand length, Measurement.

## **Introduction:**

Height is the combination of length of certain bones and appendages of body which is determined by racial differences<sup>1</sup>. Many factors such as genetics, environment and nutrition affect it. In certain medico-legal cases, where only parts or fragments of human body are found as in incidents like bomb blast, aeroplane crash, earthquake, close compartment fire, accidents, body maimed by human or animals, establishing height is of paramount importance. Many studies have been done in the past to estimate the stature from various body parameters such as length of long bones, hand length, hand breadth, foot length, arm

span, cephalic index etc. The aim of current study was to establish anthropometric correlation of between height and hand length in population of Gujarat and also to derive regression equations for correct estimation of stature of male and female in Gujarati population.

## **Material and Methods:**

Total 140 asymptomatic, apparently healthy, adolescent and adult medical students with age between 18 to 22 years belonging to various regions of Gujarat were selected for current study. Left hand was selected for measurement as per



recommendation of international agreement for paired measurement at Geneva.

- **Height:** Height was measured in standing erect anatomical position vertically in midline from heel to vertex.
- Hand length: Hand was placed on flat surface and hand length measured as a direct distance from tip of the styloid process of radius to the tip of the middle finger by using spreading calipers.

All the measurements in limbs were taken during fixed time of the day to avoid any diurnal variation and by the same person to avoid personal error in methodology. Obtained data was statistically analyzed by linear regression analysis.

#### **Results:**

The measurements were taken on 140 medical students, 70 males and 70 females. Regression equations for estimation of height using hand length were formulated. From the analysis of the data, it can also be said that stature can be predicted using hand lengths with fairly good accuracy as they show significant correlation.

		•			
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD
	17	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)
Rt. Hand	70	14.80	21.70	19.08	1.14
Lt. Hand	70	14.80	21.60	18.95	1.13
Height	70	159.10	191.30	176.28	5.98

Table 1: Measurement of Height and Hand length in Males

Table 1 indicates that, Mean height of the males to be 176.28 cm with astandard deviation of +5.98 cm, Mean hand length of the males of the left side tobe 18.95 cm with a standard deviation of +1.13 cm, whereas mean hand length of right side of maleshas been found to be 19.08 cm with standarddeviation of +1.14 cm.

	N	Minimum (cm)	Maximum (cm)	Mean (cm)	SD (cm)
Rt. Hand	70	13.20	19.20	17.21	0.99
Lt. Hand	70	13.10	19.10	17.09	0.99
Height	70	144.50	174.30	161.11	5.49

Table 2: Measurement of Height and Hand length in Females

Table 2 indicates that, Mean height of females has been found to be 161.11 cm with a standard deviation of +5.49 cm. Mean hand length of female of left side has beenfound to be 17.09 cm with a standard deviation of +0.99 cm whereas the mean hand length of right sidewas observed to be 17.21 cm with a standarddeviation of +0.99 cm.

Table 3 and 4 shows regression equations for handlength of male and female of both sides respectively.

Table 3: Correlation coefficient and regression equations for estimation of height from hand length in male.

Male	Correlation coefficient (r)	R2	Regression equation	P Value
Rt. Hand	0.512	0.262	HT=124.81+2.70(Hand length)	< 0.0001
Lt. Hand	0.507	0.257	HT=125.56+2.68(Hand length)	< 0.0001

Table 4: Correlation coefficient and regression equations for estimation of height from hand length in female.

Female	Correlation coefficient (r)	R2	Regression equation	P Value
Rt. Hand	0.481	0.231	HT=115.06+2.68(Hand length)	< 0.0001
Lt. Hand	0.483	0.233	HT=115.19+2.69(Hand length)	< 0.0001

## **Discussion:**

Many authors has been tried to find correlation between height and length of various long bones<sup>2-7</sup>. Most of these studies were done on Caucasians and the regression equations derived by them cannot be applied to Indian population due to racial differences. In the present study we have tried to estimate stature from hand length of male and female and form regression equations using hand length in Gujarati population. A study by Abdi Ozaslan et al<sup>8</sup> on 224 males and 132 women of 20-51 years shows high amount of correlation between stature and all variables used such as hand breadth, hand length, wrist breath, foot breadth, foot length and ankle breath.

Table 5: Comparison of Correlation Coefficient and Regression Equations of various Studies

G <sub>4</sub> 1° 1	C 1.4	0 1.4	D		
Studies done	Correlation	Correlation	Regression equation to Regression equation		
indifferent	coefficient	coefficient	measure stature in	measure stature in females	
ethnic groups	Male	Female	males		
Abdi Ozaslan	HL: 0.578	HL: 0.309	HT=668.04+(2.01)HL+(2.67)FL		
et al. <sup>8</sup>	FL: 0.696	FL: 0.496	H1=008.04+(2.01)HL+(2.07)FL		
Abdi Ozaslan	0.578	0.309	HT=922.01+(4.15)HL	HT=1116.56+(2.80)HL	
et al. <sup>8</sup>	0.576	0.309	111=922:01+(4.13)11L	111-1110.30+(2.00)ftL	
Abdi Ozaslan	0.696	0.496	HT=840.88+(3.52)FL	HT=941.95+(2.96)FL	
et al. <sup>8</sup>	0.070	0.470	111-840.88+(3.32)11L	111=341.33+(2.30)1·L	
Khanpurkar&	0.616	0.647	HT = 92.1 + (4.2) HL	HT = 84.9 + (4.3) HL	
Radke <sup>12</sup>	0.010	0.047	111 – 72.1 † (4.2) 11L	111 – 04.5 † (4.5) IIL	
Ilayperuma et	0.580	0.590	HT = 103.37 + (3.49) HL	HT = 93.70 + (3.63) HL	
al. <sup>13</sup>	0.500	0.570	111 = 103.37 + (3.15) 11E	111 = 33.70 + (3.03) TIE	
Sunil et al. <sup>14</sup>	0.7 (RT)	0.7 (RT)	HT= 86.93+(4.25) HLRT	HT= 77.42+ (4.56) HLRT	
	0.6 (LT)	0.7 (LT)	HT = 85.44 + (4.32)HLLT	HT = 80.94 + (4.4) HLLT	
Jasuja OP 15	0.502 (RT)	0.529 (RT)	HT= 69.51+(5.22) HLRT	HT = 130.95 + (1.61)HLRT	
	0.0452 (LT)	0.557 (LT)	HT = 84.74 + (4.5) HLLT	HT= 130.04+(1.66)HLLT	
Krishan K et al. <sup>16</sup>	NA	NA	HT= 87.33+(4.45) HLLT	HT= 84.539+(4.238) HLLT	
Dragont Study	0.512(RT)	0.481(RT)	HT=115.06+2.68(HLRT)	HT=115.06+2.68(HLRT)	
Present Study	0.507(LT)	0.483(LT)	HT=115.19+2.69(HLLT)	HT=115.19+2.69(HLLT)	

(HT= Height, HL=Hand Length, FT=Foot Length, RT=Right, LT=Left)

A study by Jitendarkumar et al<sup>9</sup> on 52 males and 51 females in age group of 21-32

years in Haryana state showed significant correlation between height and left foot length (r=0.969). A study by S. M.Patel et al<sup>10</sup> on 502 students in age group of 17-22 years also showed significant correlation between foot length and stature (r=0.6102) in population of Gujarat. Similarly, a study by Agnihotri et al<sup>11</sup> on 250 students showed positive correlation between height and foot length (r=0.769). In all the above mentioned studies a single parameter was compared and used to derive the stature. In current study, the correlation coefficient was found to be statistically significant suggesting a strong relationship between hand length with height of both males and females. Regression equations for estimation of stature from hand length were derived for both males and females. Previous studies have positively found that the regression equations using various anatomical parameters of one race or population do not apply to another 17,18. We also found that to be correct as our data differs from data of previous studies of other ethnic groups 19,20.

## **Conclusion:**

By the current study we conclude that hand length can be used to estimate the height of both males and females in Gujarati population. The regression equations we derived can be used in cadavers or in cases where only body parts are available. Estimation of individual's height is of paramount importance for forensic expert and anthropologist. By estimation of stature one can come to know individual's physical description which is very useful in forensic and archaeological studies as well.

## **References:**

- 1. Trotter M, Glesser GC. Estimation of stature from the longs bones of American whites and Negroes. Am J PhyAntrhopol 1952; (10): 463-514
- 2. Nat BS. Estimation of stature from long bones in Indians of the United Province: A medicolegal inquiry in anthropometry. Indian J Med. Res. 1931;18:1245-1263.
- 3. Dupertuis CW & Hadden JA. On the reconstruction of stature from long bones. Am J PhysAnthropol. 1951;9:15-53.
- 4. Trotter M &Gleser GC. A re-evaluation of stature based on measurements of stature taken during life and long bones after death. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1958;16:79-123.
- 5. Trotter M &Gleser GC. Estimation of stature from long bones of America whites and nigros. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1952;10:463-514.
- 6. Allbrook D. The estimation of stature in British and East African males. J Forensic Medicine. 1961; 8:15-28.
- 7. Athawale NC. Estimation of height from length of forearm bones- A study of one hundred Maharashtrian male adults of ages between 25-30 years. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1963;21:105-112.
- 8. Abdi Ozaslan, BeytullahKaradayi, Melek O. Kolusayin, Ahsen Kaya, HuseyinAfnin. Predictive role of hand and foot dimensions in stature estimation. Romanian Journal of Legal Medicine. 2012; XX, No.1:41-46.

- 9. JitenderkumarJakharet al. Estimation of height from measurement of foot length in Hariyana region. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 32(3):231-233.
- 10. Patel SM et al. Estimation of height from measurement of foot length in Gujarat region. J Anat Soc. 2007;56(1):25-27.
- 11. Agnihotri AK, Puwar B, Jeebun N. Estimation of stature by Foot length. J Forensic Legal Medicine. 2007;14(5):279-283.
- 12. Khanpurkar S & Radke A. Estimation of stature from the measurement of foot length, hand length and head length in Maharashtra region. IJBAMR. 2012; 1(2):77-85
- 13. Ilayeruma I et al. Prediction of personal stature based on the hand length. Galle Medical Journal. 14 (1):15-18.
- 14. Sunil et al. Estimation of stature from hand length. JIAFM. 2005;27 (4): 219-21.
- 15. Jasuja OP & Singh G. Estimation of stature from hand and phalange length. JIAFM. 2004:26(3).
- 16. Krishan K et al. Multiplication factor versus regression analysis in stature estimation from hand and foot dimensions. Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine. 2012;19:211-214
- 17. Davies BT, Benson AK, Courtney A, Minto I. A comparison of hand anthropometry of females in the three ethnic groups. Ergonomics. 1980;23: 183-184.
- 18. Williams PL, Bannister LH, Berry MM, Collins P, Dyson M, Dssek JE. Gray's Anatomy: The anatomical basis of medicine and surgery, 38th Ed. Churchill Livingstone. New York, 2000, 425-436.
- 19. Abdel-Malek AK, Ahmed AM, El-Sharkawi SA, El-Hamid NA. Prediction of stature from hand measurements. For. Sci. Inter. 1990;46(3):181-187.
- 20. Bhatnagar DP, Thapar SP, Batish MK. Identification of personal height from the somatometry of the hand in Punjabi males, Forensic Science International. 1984;24:137-41.